Stage 1 Project Marks

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Banner ID 1 |  | Banner ID 2 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Core Functionality ( / 10) | | Mark |
| Nothing works | 0 |  |
| An item can be added to the app (e.g. a Contact, Expense item or whatever) | 1 | x/10 |
| A new item shows up in the on-screen list | 1 |
| All item attributes are properly assigned | 1 |
| An item can be selected for edit and/or delete | 1 |
| Items can be deleted *(note – this can be a difficult feature to implement)* | 2 |
| Items can be edited *(as can this one)* | 2 |
| The current list of items is stored persistently (e.g. using localStorage) | 1 |
| On start-up, the list of items is retrieved from persistent storage | 1 |
|  | | |
| User-Interface Processing ( / 10) | | Mark |
| There is no way to enter item data | 0 | x/10 |
| Item data can be entered | 1 |
| There is a form for entering/editing item data | 1 |
| Elements of item data have suitable input controls (e.g. checkboxes, selections etc.). Some care is needed here, since some data types have varying support in different browsers – e.g. Chrome and Opera provide better support for date input – give students the benefit of the doubt if the app is better supported in one or other browser | 1 |
| The user interface is styled to appear attractive | 1 |
| At least some item data is validated (either by regex expressions or Javascript code) | 1 |
| All item data is validated | 1 |
| User input events trigger an update of the list of items (e.g. pressing an Add or Cancel button) | 1 |
| Items in the list display can be selected on-screen (e.g. by using a check-box or clicking on the item in a table row) | 1 |
| There is some mechanism for separating user-input from the item list view (e.g. separate HTML documents, hiding and showing <div> elements) | 1 |
| The user is given appropriate cues (e.g. warning alerts, input control styling) for error conditions on input | 1 |
|  |  |
|  | | |
| **Project Features** ( / 10) | | **Mark** |
| There are features in this project that go beyond the base-criteria that are worthy of merit: for example, good separation of application components (e.g. MVC), good testing procedures, testing across various browsers, CSS styles and/or animations used well, worthwhile additional functionality or features. | Up to 10 marks (with some explanatory comments) | X / 10 |
| **Total Mark ( / 30)** | | x/30 |
| **Overall comments on Implementation stage** | | |
| …go here. | | |